Unextendible maximally entangled bases in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$

Mao-Sheng Li,Yan-Ling Wang,Zhu-Jun Zheng
Department of Mathematics,
South China University of Technology,
Guangzhou 510640,China

We solved the unextendible maximally entangled basis (UMEB) problem in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}(d \neq d')$,the results turn out to be that there always exist a UMEB.In addition,there might be two sets of UMEB with different numbers.The main difficult is to prove the unextendibility of the set of states.We give an explicit construction of UMEB by considering the Schmidt number of the complementary space of the states we construct.

arXiv:1407.2404v1 [quant-ph] 9 Jul 2014

I. INTRODUCTION

Entanglement plays important role in quantum information, such as teleportation, quantum error correction and quantum secret sharing[1–3].The unextendible product basis (UPB) has been extensively investigated. Considerable elegant results have been obtained with interesting applications to the theory of quantum information [5, 6]. The UPB is a set of incomplete orthonormal product basis whose complementary space has no product states. It was also shown that the space complementary to a UPB contains bound entanglement [4].Moreover, the states comprising a UPB are not distinguishable by local measurements and classical communication.

There it was shown that there are sets of orthogonal product vectors of a tensor product Hilbert space $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}(d \neq d')$ such that there are no further product states orthogonal to every state in the set, even though the size of the set is smaller than dd'.

Recently S. Bravyi and J. A. Smolin generalized the notion of the UPB to unextendible maximally entangled basis[7]: a set of orthonormal maximally entangled states in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^d$ consisting of fewer than d^2 vectors which have no additional maximally entangled vectors orthogonal to all of them. In [7],the authors proved that there does not exist UMEBs for $d = 2$ and constructed a 6-member UMEB for $d = 3$ and a 12-member UMEB for $d = 4$.And the authors left us a question:whether the nonsquare UMEBS exist or not? In addition,there are some authors study the locally unextendible non-maximally entangled basis (LUNMEB)[9].

In [8], the authors studied the UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ ($\frac{d'}{2} < d < d'$). They constructed a d^2-member UMEB and also left a quetion:whether there exist UMEB in other case $\frac{d'}{2} \geq d$ or not.

In this paper,we study the UMEB in arbitrary bipartite spaces.We give an explicit construction of UMEBs in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}(d < d')$,hence we state that the UMEB exists if $d < d'$ and this gives an answer to the question asked in [7, 8].We can give explicit expression of the vectors in the completementary space of the constructed states.Then we can state that there is no maximally entangled states in the completementary space of the constructed states by considering their Schmidt number.

Definition[8]. A set of states $\{|\phi_i\rangle \in \mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'} : i = 1, 2, \cdots, n,\ n < dd'\}$ is called an n member UMEB if and only if

(i) $|\phi_i\rangle$, $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, are maximally entangled;

(ii) $\langle\phi_i|\phi_j\rangle = \delta_{ij}$;

(iii) if $\langle\phi_i|\psi\rangle = 0$ for all $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$, then $|\psi\rangle$ cannot be maximally entangled.

Here state $|\psi\rangle$ is said to be a $d \otimes d'$ maximally entangled state if and only if for arbitrary given orthonormal complete basis $\{|i_A\rangle\}$ of subsystem A, there exists an orthonormal basis $\{|i_B\rangle\}$ of subsystem B such that $|\psi\rangle$ can be written as $|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} |i_A\rangle \otimes |i_B\rangle$ [10].

II. UMEBS IN $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ $(d' > d)$

A d^2 member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}(\frac{d'}{2} < d < d')$ has been constructed in [8] as the following.

$$|\phi_{mn}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} \zeta_d^{np} |p \oplus m\rangle |p'\rangle$$

where $\zeta_d = e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}}$, $k \oplus m$ denotes $(k + m)$ mod d

If we take a look at the condition $\frac{d'}{2} < d < d'$ mention above, we can find that this condition is just equivalent with $d' = d + r, 0 < r < d$.

It's no wonder that the problem of UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$ with $d' = dq + r,\ \ 0 < r < d$ is a generalized problem.

Proposition 1. In $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}, d' = dq + r, 0 < r < d$,for any integer

$$m, n = 0, 1 \cdots, d - 1; l = 1, \cdots, q,$$

we define

$$|\phi_{nml}\rangle \triangleq \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \sum_{p=0}^{d-1} \zeta_d^{np} |p \oplus m\rangle |((l-1)d + p)'\rangle$$

where $k \oplus m$ denotes $(k + m)$ mod d.

Then these qd^2 states are unextendible mutually orthogonal maximally entangled states.

Proof: (i) Orthogonality

$\langle\phi_{\tilde{n}\tilde{m}\tilde{l}}|\phi_{nml}\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{p=0}^{d-1}\sum_{\tilde{p}=0}^{d-1}\zeta_d^{np-\tilde{n}\tilde{p}}\langle((\tilde{l}-1)d+\tilde{p})'|\langle\tilde{p}\oplus\tilde{m}|p\oplus m\rangle|((l-1)d+p)'\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{p=0}^{d-1}\sum_{\tilde{p}=0}^{d-1}\zeta_d^{np-\tilde{n}\tilde{p}}\langle\tilde{p}\oplus\tilde{m}|p\oplus m\rangle\langle((\tilde{l}-1)d+\tilde{p})'|((l-1)d+p)'\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{p=0}^{d-1}\zeta_d^{(n-\tilde{n})p}\langle p\oplus\tilde{m}|p\oplus m\rangle\delta_{l\tilde{l}}$

$= \delta_{m\tilde{m}}\delta_{n\tilde{n}}\delta_{l\tilde{l}}$

(ii)Maximally entangled.

This can be easily checked by the definition of $|\phi_{mnl}\rangle$.

(iii)Unextendible

Let V_1 denotes the subspace span by

$$\{|\phi_{nml}\rangle, n, m = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1; l = 1, \cdots, q\}.$$

We have

$$Dim(V_1) = qd^2,$$

so

$$Dim({V_1}^{\perp}) = dd' - qd^2 = dr.$$

Now let

$$|\psi_{i,j}\rangle = |ij\rangle, i = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1; j = qd, \cdots, qd+r-1$$

Let V_2 denotes the subspace span by

$$\{|\psi_{i,j}\rangle, i = 0, 1, \cdots, d-1; j = qd, \cdots, qd+r-1\}.$$

Following easily calculation,we have

$$\langle\psi_{i',j'}|\phi_{i,j}\rangle = 0$$

Because $Dim(V_2) = dr$,so ${V_1}^{\perp} = V_2$. $\forall v \in V_2$ has the bellowing form

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\sum_{\jmath=0}^{r-1} a_{i,j}|i\rangle|qd+j\rangle.$$

So the Schmidt rank of every vector in V_2 is no higher than r which is less than d,hence we derived that any state in ${V_1}^{\perp}$ is not maximally entangled.

From (i)(ii)(iii) and $qd^2 < dd'$,we can conclude that the states $\{|\phi_{i,j}\rangle\}$ consist of a qd^2 member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}$. □

In [8], the authors ask whether the set of d^2 member orthonormal maximally entagled states they constructed is unextendible or not in the case of $d \leq \frac{d'}{2}$.Proposition 1 give a deny answer to this question when $d' = qd+r, q > 1, 0 < r < d$.

Example 1. We find an 8 member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^5$,this example is not satisfied the condition in [8].Each row represent a states but not normal for the purpose of the consise notation.

TABLE I: 8-member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^5$

00	01	10	11	02	03	12	13	04	14
1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	−1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	−1	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0	1	−1	0	0	0

From the table above,we can observe that the four states can be look as the Bell states in $\mathbb{C}^2 \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^2$ with the base $|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle$ and the last four states also can be seen as the Bell states with the base $|02\rangle, |03\rangle, |12\rangle, |13\rangle$.

Now we notice that the above construction can not be efficient if $r = 0$,for $qd^2 = dd'$ in this case.So we give another construction to find the UMEB for other cases.A nature question arise when we consider the UMEB problem. Are there two sets of UMEB with different number? The Proposition below gives a positive answer to this problem when $(d \geq 3, d' - d \geq 2)$.

Proposition 2. In $\mathbb{C}^d \bigotimes \mathbb{C}^{d'}(d < d')$,for any integer

$$m \in \begin{cases} \{d'-1, d'-2, ..., d'-d+1\} & d' \geq 2d \\ \{d'-1, d'-2, ..., d'-r\} & d < d' < 2d, d' = d+r \end{cases}$$

let

$$|\phi_{i,j}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}ki}|k\rangle|k\oplus j\rangle,$$

$$i = 0, ..., d-1; j = 0, ..., m-1.$$

where $k \oplus j$ means $k + j$ mod m.

Then $\{|\phi_{i,j}\rangle\}$ is a dm member UMEB.

Proof:(i) Orthongonality

$\langle\phi_{i',j'}|\phi_{i,j}\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1}\sum_{k'=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}(ki-k'i')}\langle k'|k\rangle\langle k'\oplus j'|k\oplus j\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}k(i-i')}\langle k'\oplus j'|k\oplus j\rangle$

$= \frac{1}{d}\delta_{j,j'}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}k(i-i')}$

$= \delta_{j,j'}\delta_{i,i'}$

(ii)Maximally entangled
By the definition

$$|\phi_{i,j}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}\sum_{k=0}^{d-1} e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{d}ki}|k\rangle|k\oplus j\rangle,$$

$$i = 0, ..., d-1; j = 0, ..., m-1.$$

$k\oplus j$ is just $k+j$ mod m,because $m > d$,so for $0 \le k, k' \le d-1$, $k\oplus j = k'\oplus j$ if and only with $k = k'$. So $|\phi_{i,j}\rangle$ are maximally entangled states.

(iii)Unextendible
Similar with the proof in Proposition 1,we denote

$$V_1 = span\{|\phi_{i,j}\rangle, i = 0, ..., d-1; j = 0, ..., m-1\}$$

$$V_2 = span\{|\psi_{i,j}\rangle = |ij\rangle, i = 0, ..., d-1; j = m, ..., d'-1\}$$

It's clear that

$$Dim(V_1) = dm, Dim(V_2) = d(d'-m).$$

By $\langle\psi_{i',j'}|\phi_{i,j}\rangle = 0$,we derived that $V_1^{\perp} = V_2$.Now every vector $v \in V_2$ has the following form

$$v = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1}\sum_{j=0}^{d'-m-1} a_{i,j}|i\rangle|m+j\rangle.$$

By the definition of m,we have

$$d'-m \in \begin{cases} \{1,2,...,d-1\} & d' \ge 2d \\ \{1,2,...,r\} & d < d' < 2d, d' = d+r \end{cases}$$

hence in both case $d'-m < d$,but the Schmidt number of v is no higher than $d'-m$. So no state in V_2 is maximally entangled.

From (i)(ii)(iii) and $dm < dd'$,we can conclude that the states $\{|\phi_{i,j}\rangle\}$ consist of a dm member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^{d'}$. □

From proposition 2 we can give a full answer to the question asked by [7, 8].Compared with proposition 1,proposition 2 can solve the case when $d' = qd$.Moreover,it constructed different kinds of UMEB.

Example 2. Now we give a 6 member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^2\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^4$.(see Table II)

Example 3. Considering the UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^3\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^6$,$m$ can be chosen to be 4 or 5 by proposition 2,so there exist a 12 member and a 15 member UMEB.Now we list the 15 member UMEB.(see Table III)

TABLE II: 6-member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^2\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^4$

00	01	02	10	11	12	03	13
1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	−1	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	−1	0	0
0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	−1	0	0	0	0

TABLE III: 15-member UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^3\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^6$

00	01	02	03	04	10	11	12	13	14	20	21	22	23	24	05	15	25
1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0
1	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0
0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	ω	0	0	0	0	0	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	ω^2	0	0	0	0	0	ω	0	0	0	0	0	0

III. CONCLUSION

We have studied the UMEB in $\mathbb{C}^d\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^{d'}(d \ne d')$.We conclude that there always exist a UMEB in this case.Moreover,there might be two or more sets of UMEB with different numbers by proposition 2. Meanwhile,we also give an answer to the question in [8] ,when $d = qd + r, q > 1, 0 < r < d$ we extend them by some more $(q-1)d^2$ states to form a qd^2 member UMEB.The main difficult for proving a set to be UMEB is the unextendible condition.Different with the paper [8],we just use some basic knowledge of the advance algebra to calculate the complementary space.

The result is just similar with the UPB problem in $\mathbb{C}^d\bigotimes\mathbb{C}^{d'}(d \ne d')$, we can always find a UMEB.In the meantime,we also state that there are d-1 different sets of UMEB if $d' \ge 2d$.

Acknowledgments : We thank professor Shao-Ming Fei from Capital Normal University provided us this question and gave us some helpful advices.

[1] R. Horodecki, P Horodecki, M Horodecki and K Horodecki, Rev. Mod. Phys **81**, 865 (2009).

[2] M.A. Nielsen and I.L. Chuang, Quantum Computation and Quantum Information, Cambridge University Press,2004.

[3] M. Horodecki, Quant. Inf. Comput **1**, 3 (2001).

[4] Bound entanglement was defined in P. Horodecki, Phys.Lett. A 232, 333 (1997)

[5] D. P. Divincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin, and B. M. Terhal, Commun. Math. Phys. 238, 379 (2003).

[6] D. P. Divincenzo, T. Mor, P. W. Shor, J. A. Smolin and B. M. Terhal (2003), *Unextendible product bases, uncompletable product bases and bound entanglement*, Commun. Math. Phys. 238, pp. 379-410.

[7] S. Bravyi, and J. A. Smolin, Phys. Rev. A **84**, 042306 (2011).

[8] B.Chen and S.M.Fei,Phys.Rev.A **88**,034301 (2013)

[9] I. Chakrabarty, P. Agrawal and A. K. Paty (2012), *Locally unextendible non-maximally entangled basis*, Quant. Inf. Comput. 12, 0271.

[10] A. Jamiolkowski, Rep. Math. Phys. **3**, 275 (1972).